



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,705	12/01/2000	Yukihiko Okumura	15689.61	7195
<div>7590 09/06/2007</div> <div>ADRIAN J. LEE WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY 1000 EAST GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT</div> <div>2611</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE</div> <div>09/06/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/701,705

Applicant(s)

OKUMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Qutub Ghulamali

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8,18-20,22,23,33-37,47,49 and 53-85 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8,18-20,22,23,33-37,47,49,53-61,64-77 and 80-85 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 62 and 78 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 63, 79 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
2. Applicant's remarks, see page 29-31, filed 08/13/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 78 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found art. The rejection based on the newly found art follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 62, 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono (USP 6,272,167) in view of Iwamatsu et al (USP 6,487,236).

Regarding claim 78, Ono discloses a demodulation method comprising: weighting and averaging pilot signals (a simple averaging by RAKE combining of the pilot symbols in a slot to provide improvement in error deterioration) using a plurality of

Art Unit: 2611

weight sequences (col. 4, lines 1-20, 28-40). Ono does not explicitly disclose, deriving a plurality of demodulated data sequences from a data sequence using said plurality of channel estimation values; and selecting one output data sequence by making judgment of reliability of a plurality of demodulated data. However, Iwamatsu discloses deriving a plurality of demodulated data sequences from a data sequence using said plurality of channel estimation values (col. 20, lines 52-64); and selecting one output data sequence by making judgment of reliability of a plurality of demodulated data (col. 20, lines 65-67; col. 21, lines 1-14). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a data sequence from a plurality of demodulated data sequences and select an out sequence of optimum reliability of demodulated data from demodulating unit as taught by Iwamatsu in the system of Ono because by selecting an output sequence from a plurality of data sequence having an optimum reliability it is possible to perform more correct demodulation of correlated energies.

As per claim 62, the steps claimed as apparatus is nothing more than restating the function of the specific components of the method steps as claimed above and therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method as taught by the combined art of Ono and Iwamatsu because it can allow insertion of pilot symbols to estimate channel response of data symbols in a fading environment to mitigate noise and multi interference and improve channel estimation accuracy.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8, 18-20, 22, 23, 33-37, 47, 49, 53-61, 64-77, 80-85 allowed.
6. Claims 63 and 79 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patents:

US Patent (6,977,910) to Hosur et al.

US Patent (5,768,307) to Schramm et al.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

QG.

August 31, 2007.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Chieh M. Fan', with a stylized flourish at the end.

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER